

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,397	MONTGOMERY, ROBERT H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunil Singh	3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sunil Singh. (3) _____

(2) Stephen T Belshem. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 October 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 22

Identification of prior art discussed: Crosby, Emmerich et al. Krekler (us. 3865,437; 4084856, 3,397,012)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Proposed claim 22 (attached) appears to have defined over the above mentioned prior art. However, claim 22 (proposed) added "over the entire length thereof" which raises new issue. Examiner will reconsider.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

BELSHEIM LAW OFFICE

Patent Law
179 Belle Forrest Circle Suite 102
Nashville, Tennessee 37221
Telephone: 615-662-0100
Facsimile: 615-662-0352
e-mail: TENNPATENT@AOL.COM

**TO: United States Patent and Trademark Office
Art Unit 3673
Attn: Examiner Singh, Sunil**

FACSIMILE NUMBER: 703-746-3785

FROM: Stephen T Belsheim

DATE: October 18, 2004

RE: U.S. Application Serial No. 10/657,397

PAGES (including transmittal page): 3

**COMMENTS: Regarding our upcoming interview of October 20, 2004 at 10:00am.
Please find enclosed proposed amendment to the claims.**

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MAY BE CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED RECIPIENT. IF THE READER OF THIS FACSIMILE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS FACSIMILE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE CONTACT BELSHEIM LAW OFFICE AT 615-662-0100 AND RETURN THE FACSIMILE TO BELSHEIM LAW OFFICE AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

703-746
3785

K-1775D
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of: Montgomery, Jr.)
Serial No.10/657,397) GROUP ART UNIT 3673
Filed: September 8, 2003)
For: MANUALLY REPLACEABLE) Examiner: Singh, Sunil
PROTECTIVE WEAR SLEEVE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir: **RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION OF SEPTEMBER 14, 2004**

Introduction

By this Response, applicant responds to the FINAL Office Action of September 14, 2004. Applicant submits that this paper presents arguments that clearly show the patentability of the claims and/or places the claims in form for allowance. Hence, this response should be entered in the case.

K-1775D
PATENT

In the application of: Montgomery, Jr.
Serial No.10/657,397
Filed: September 8, 2003

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION OF SEPTEMBER 14, 2004

-2-

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in this patent application. - Listing of Claims

Claims 1-21 cancelled

22. (Currently Amended) A protective wear sleeve for a bit holder of a cutting tool assembly wherein the wear sleeve comprises:

an elongate body having an axial forward end and an axial rearward end;
the elongate body having a solid enlarged diameter portion adjacent to the axial forward end thereof and a split portion beginning at and extending in an axial forward direction from the rearward end wherein the split portion contains a slot so that the split portion is flexible in a radial direction, and a solid intermediate portion being between and contiguous with the enlarged diameter portion and the split portion;

the split portion having an external surface that is uniform over the entire length thereof, and the intermediate portion having an external surface that is uniform wherein the diameter of ~~he~~ the external surface of the split portion is equal to the diameter of the surface of the intermediate portion; and

when the wear sleeve is in the central bore, the external surface of the split portion is biased in a radial outward direction against the central bore of the bit holder so as to retain the wear sleeve in the central bore of the bit holder.

23. (Previously Presented) The protective wear sleeve of claim 22 wherein the split portion extends for less than one-half of the axial length of the elongate body.

24. (Previously Presented) The protective wear sleeve of claim 22 wherein the enlarged diameter portion comprises a collar for protecting the bit holder from axial forces applied to the cutting tool.

25. (Previously Presented) The protective wear sleeve of claim 22 wherein the elongate body has a generally cylindrical geometry.